

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/123,123	07/27/1998	KOICHIRO WATANABE	SONY-P8776	5183
22850	7590 06/17/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GRIER, LAURA A	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2644	16
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	• 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	09/123,123	WATANABE, KOICHIRO				
omoo Aoson Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this commu	Laura A Grier	et with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	mication appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 bly will, by statute, cause the application to beco s after the mailing date of this communication, e	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 13 April 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .						
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,24 and 26-63</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22,24,27 and 28</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	re withdrawn from consideration.	t.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar						
Applicant may not request that any ob	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected		wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
<u> </u>	on for foreign animals, and an 25 H O	0.0440(-) (1) - (0)				
2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copie	ty documents have been received ty documents have been received					
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified copies	not received.				
AM						
Attachment(s)	" П	iou Summon (DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/123,123

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 20-22, 24, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Newlin et al., U. S. Patent No. 5887821.

Regarding claim 20, Newlin et al. (herein, Newlin) discloses a multimedia input and control apparatus and method for multimedia communications (figure 1). Newlin discloses a telephone (185) and a PC (190) outputs control signals such as various DTMF tones and programmed control signals to a user/audio interface which may be transmitted via various transmission mediums (col. 5, lines 17-49), which reads on an acoustic control signal transmission apparatus for transmitting an acoustic control signal corresponding to a control instruction, wherein is inherent that an audio signal is transmitted thereto as evident by the fact that the user/audio interface (135) provides audio input and output which receives the control signals; a multimedia access apparatus (110) coupled with multimedia networks and video displays (210, 220, 230, and 170), reads on an apparatus to be controlled based upon the control and control instructions, wherein it is inherent that the apparatus outputs a sound wave as evident

by the fact that audio is received and output in the multimedia access apparatus (col. 3, lines 17-59).

Regarding **claim 21**, Newlin discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 20). Further, Newlin's disclosure inherently supports a control instruction inputs means, an acoustic control signal generating means, and signal outputting means as evident of the fact that the various DTMF tones controls signals and programmed or programmable control signals are transmitted from a telephone and/or PC to the user/audio interface of the multimedia access apparatus, and the various transmission mediums (col. 5, lines 17-49);

Regarding **claim 22**, Newlin discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim20). Further, Newlin's disclosure inherently supports a signal input means, and control instruction specifying means, and a means to be controlled as evident of the fact that controls and audio signals are output to a processor arrangement (143) which includes microprocessor system (140) coupled to the user/audio interface (135) and various interface (115), which processes and formats the audio for further transmission (col. 31-59).

Regarding **claim 24**, Newlin discloses a multimedia input and control apparatus and method for multimedia communications (figure 1). Newlin discloses a telephone (185) and a PC (190) outputs control signals such as various DTMF tones and programmed control signals to a user/audio interface, which inherently reads generating an acoustic control signal (135), and transmitting an acoustic control signal corresponding to a control instruction as supported by the various transmission mediums (col. 5, lines 17-49); inherent means of extracting the acoustic control signal and executing a control signal as evident by as that controls and audio signals are output to a processor arrangement (143) which includes microprocessor system (140) coupled to

Art Unit: 2644

the user/audio interface (135) and various interface (115), which processes and formats the audio for further transmission (col. 31-59), wherein a time division transmission is inherent based upon the multiplexing capability of the multimedia access apparatus in respect to the control signals/functions (col. 4, lines 64-col. 5, lines 1-16).

Regarding **claims 27 and 28**, Newlin disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 24). Newlin further discloses the transmission of the controls signals via various transmission mediums (col. 5, lines 17-49), which reads on an acoustic control signal being transmitted via signal transmission path and radio wave, respectively.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-22, filed 04/13/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 20-22, 24, and 27-28 under 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the art rejection and 112 rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Newlin, which disclose the transmission of audio signals and acoustic controls signal for audio output on a receiving end, therein in respect to the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2644

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

June 10, 2004

MINSUN OH HARVEY V